

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00039969)

SciVerse ScienceDirect

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/aob

Gene clustering analysis in human osteoporosis disease and modifications of the jawbone

Paolo Toti a,1 , Carolina Sbordone b , Ranieri Martuscelli $^{\mathsf{c}}$, Luigi Califano b , Luca Ramaglia d , Ludovico Sbordone ^{e,2,}*

^a Private Practice, Via Provinciale 87B, 55041 Camaiore (Lucca), Italy

^b Chair of Maxillo-Facial Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Naples "Federico II", Via S. Pansini 5, 80100 Naples, Italy

^c Clinical Department of Odontostomatology and Maxillo-Facial Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Naples "Federico II", Via S. Pansini 5, 80100 Naples, Italy

^d Chair of Oral Surgery, Department of Neurosciences, Reproductive and Odontostomatological Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Naples ''Federico II'', Via S. Pansini 5, 80131 Naples, Italy

e Chair of Odontostomatological Diseases, Department of Medicine and Surgery, School of Medicine, University of Salerno, Via S. Allende, 84081 Baronissi (Salerno), Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Accepted 28 February 2013

Keywords:

Computational biology Genomics Bone repair Bone remodelling Bone resorption Osteoporosis Maxilla Mandible

a b s t r a c t

Objective: An analysis of the genes involved in both osteoporosis and modifications of the jawbone, through text mining, using a web search tool, of information regarding gene/ protein interaction.

Design: The final set of genes involved in the present phenomenon was obtained by expansion-filtering loop. Using a web-available software (STRING), interactions among all genes were searched for, and a clustering procedure was performed in which only high-confidence predicted associations were considered.

Results: Two hundred forty-two genes potentially involved in osteoporosis and in modifications of the jawbone were recorded. Seven ''leader genes'' were identified (CTNNB1, IL1B, IL6, JUN, RUNX2, SPP1, TGFB1), while another 10 genes formed the cluster B group (BMP2, BMP7, COL1A1, ICAM1, IGF1, IL10, MMP9, NFKB1, TNFSF11, VEGFA). Ninety-eight genes had no interactions, and were defined as ''orphan genes''.

Conclusions: The expansion of knowledge regarding the molecular basis causing osteoporotic traits has been brought about with the help of a de novo identification, based on the data mining of genes involved in osteoporosis and in modification of the jawbone. A comparison of the present data, in which no role was verified for 98 genes that had been previously supposed to have a role, with that of the literature, in which another 81 genes, as obtained from GWAS reviews and meta-analyses, appeared to be strongly associated with osteoporosis, probably attests to a lack of information on osteoporotic disease.

 \oslash 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 329 6332655; fax: +39 089 969642.

 1 Formerly at Department of Surgery, University of Pisa, Via Paradisa 2, 56124 Pisa, Italy.

² Formerly at Implantology and Periodontology, Department of Surgery, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy; Complex Operating Unit of Odontostomatology and Implantology, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Pisana, Pisa, Italy.

0003–9969/\$ – see front matter \odot 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2013.02.013>

E-mail addresses: lsbordone@unisa.it, ludovico.sbordone@med.unipi.it (L. Sbordone).

1. Introduction

Generally, osteoporosis is diagnosed when a decrease in bone mass and bone quality, manifesting itself as bone fragility, may lead to an increased propensity to fractures resulting from minimal trauma.¹

Even if some forms of osteoporosis have been classified as primary, divided into ''post-menopausal'', or type I, and ''senile'', or type II, and as secondary, manifesting themselves through specific signs and added symptoms stemming from further causes, $2,3$ osteoporotic patients have been generally screened and followed through a measurement of their bone mineral density (BMD), which is widely considered as an indicator of the risk factor for fracture (in conjunction with age and gender), and which has oriented preventive therapeutic decisions.[4,5](#page-16-0)

The prevention of fractures obtained through an increase in bone formation, together with inhibition of bone resorption, is the ultimate aim of therapy; several strategies are employed, from modification of diet and lifestyle, 6 to the utilization of medications, $7,8$ each of which may be quite effective, but also associated with severe side-effects.⁹ Moreover, some unrelated BMD factors may play an important role due to the fact that most individuals without BMD-defined osteoporosis develop osteoporotic fractures.[1](#page-15-0)

Despite the common genetic variations, probably each of the thousands of genetic variants out of a pool of thousands of genes influences the measured BMD in an infinitesimal way. Experimental explorations of rare genetic variations, helpful in improving our overall understanding of the pathophysiology and pharmacology necessary to prevent osteoporotic fractures, were started and developed using different genetic approaches, such as linkage analysis, candidate and genome-wide association studies (CGAS and GWAS, respectively), genome-wide sequencing and functional studies.[10–12](#page-16-0)

The jaw is the secondary target of osteoporosis, even if the basal part of the jaw remains more intact, especially in the male mandible, due to its denser cortical base. So alveolar ridges and maxillary basal parts are mainly exposed to resorption, due to the high percentage of cancellous structure.¹³⁻¹⁵ Alveolar ridge resorption can be induced by several metabolic bone diseases, such as vitamin D-resistant rickets[,16](#page-16-0) primary and secondary hyperparathyroidism,^{[17,18](#page-16-0)} and Paget's disease.^{[19,20](#page-16-0)}

For patients who have experienced an intense process of resorption of the edentulous alveolar ridge, an established rehabilitation strategy is that of bone reconstruction with successive insertions of dental implants that support fixed prostheses. Osteoporosis is not an absolute contraindication to prosthetic-implant therapy, although the increased risk of fracture advises for the elimination of the risk factors associated with it. 21 Topic areas regarding osteoporosis, as summarized by Erdogan et al. 21 , have been defined, and are listed as follows: changes in alveolar bone morphology in osteoporosis, bone repair and turnover in osteoporosis, alveolar bone regeneration/augmentation associated with low bone density, patients undergoing ridge augmentation procedures.

Through a cluster analysis of the genes involved in the present phenomenon, it was possible to identify certain genes (the so-called ''leader'' genes), which can be safely assumed to play the most essential role in the process as yet performed for other analyses.[22–25](#page-16-0) An enormous quantity of data, including tens of thousands of simultaneous transcripts, had to be carefully sifted through in the highly complex analysis required in classical microarray experiments: the information obtained regarding the involved set of genes could then be employed for the design, analysis, and interpretation of microarray experiments that could be specifically targeted, in which the transcripts amounted to only a few hundred.

The primary aim of this study was a de novo identification of relevant genes in osteoporosis, in particular those linked to procedures of bone augmentation. Our secondary aim was to carry out a comparison between resulting primaeval information regarding the obtained final set of genes and the newly putative genes supposed as being associated with osteoporosis, as resulted from several genetic analytical approaches.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Genes involved in human osteoporosis

A bioinformatic/statistical algorithm, less complex than that seen in the pristine analysis, 23 has been employed and utilized

Fig. 1 – Data analysis for osteoporosis process: (a) Final map of interactions of 242 genes involved in the present phenomenon according to STRING. Leader genes and cluster B genes are red. The lines that connect single genes represent predicted functional associations among proteins, with a high degree of confidence; (b) genes belonging to the leader cluster in different k-mean clustering experiments with an increasing number of clusters; (c) plot of the gap statistic method for estimating the number of clusters \hat{k} ; (d) WNL for genes involved in the process. Open circles are cluster B genes. Grey

Fig. 1. (Continued).

in the present study. $24,25$ This approximate clustering analysis was made up of several steps, and for each of them a different software program was used.

The first step was the establishment of an introductory set of genes by using the web-available engine Entrez [\(www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/gquery,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/gquery) that was linked to the following list of integrated experiment cross-databases: PubMed, Genbank, Kegg, Omim, Genatlas), and via scanning of the commercially available DNA-microarray gene lists, as reported in [Table](#page-1-0) 1.

The search strategy included the following pertinent key words, obtained from a review paper dealing with osteoporosis and modifications of the jawbone, 21 combined using proper boolean logic operators (AND, OR, NOT) for each search in the above-mentioned databases:

- (1) Gene, human, osteoporosis;
- (2) Bone changes;
- (3) Bone alveolar regeneration;
- (4) Bone alveolar augmentation;
- (5) Ridge regeneration procedure;
- (6) Ridge augmentation procedure;
- (7) 2 OR 3 OR 4 OR 5 OR 6
- (8) repair;
- (9) turnover;
- (10) resorption;
- (11) remodelling;
- (12) low density;
- (13) 8 OR 9 OR 10 OR 11 OR 12
- (14) exclusion search strategy: NOT cancer
- (15) 1 AND 7 AND 13 AND 14

Once a new gene was obtained, its name was verified by means of the official Human Genome Organization (HUGO) Gene Nomenclature Committee, or HGNC (available at [http://](http://www.genenames.org/) www.genenames.org/), and the approved gene symbol was applied erasing previous symbols or aliases. In the second step, the list of genes was completed; as already described, 25 the new gene dataset was expanded with web-available software STRING (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins: version 8.3 available at <http://string-db.org/>), then false positives were filtered by a further search with PubMed. Consecutive expansion-filtering loops were stopped at the achievement of the convergence, that is when no new gene, potentially involved in the present process, was identified. Before further analysis, the name of each gene of the final convergence set involved in osteoporosis processes was newly changed, when necessary, applying that used in the STRING software.

2.2. Identification of leader genes

For each gene $(1, 2, 3, ...)$ of the overall final convergence set, STRING presented all Predicted Functional Partners (α , β , χ , . . .); the STRING Scores represented the level of confidence of the combined predicted associations for couples 1α , 1β , 1χ , ... For each gene of the final convergence set, only couples for which there was a high level of confidence (results with a score \geq 0.9) were considered, and the scores were extracted, allowing the obtaining of a numerical variable called ''Weighted Number of Links'' (WNL), that is, the arithmetical sum of the high level combined predicted association scores.

circles are cluster leader genes. Squares are the centroids of the cluster groups; Map of interaction for genes involved in osteoporosis, sorted by different pathways according to STRING, so that leader genes and/or cluster B genes (both in red) were exposed. The lines that connect single genes represent predicted functional associations among proteins, with a high degree of confidence: (e) oestrogen endocrine- and vitamin D endocrine-pathway; (f) canonical Wnt/b-catenin signalling pathway; and (g) RANKL/RANK/OPG pathway. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

All gene-related data were entered into a matrix laborato $ry₁³$ allowing calculations to be performed automatically. A kmean algorithm was applied to the input variable WNL, or ''Weighted Number of Links'', and a partitioning of the overall dataset of genes into mutually-exclusive clusters was automatically performed.

As was already described, a ''gap statistic'' method was applied to the set of data for estimating the optimal number of clusters \hat{k}^{25} \hat{k}^{25} \hat{k}^{25} A null reference distribution, required for an accurate application of the gap statistic method, was generated, i.e. a uniform distribution throughout the data dimension, as described by Tibshirani et al.²⁶: reference datasets were obtained by drawing m samples ($m =$ number of genes), repeated 5 times. 26 Comparisons were performed between the logarithmic value of the pooled within-cluster sum of squares around cluster means $[log(W_k)]$ and its logarithmic expectation according to the null reference distribution of the data for the clusters from 2 to 16. The estimate of the number of clusters was given by the value of \hat{k} for which log(W_k) fell the farthest from the reference curve.^{[26](#page-16-0)} Significant differences among WNLs of cluster groups obtained by the gap statistic method, were found by Kruskal–Wallis test (statistical significance at a level of α = 0.01), verifying the accurate estimate of the number of clusters.

The first cluster, constituted by the genes with the highest WNL, was named ''leader'', or A: so ''leader genes'' were assumed to play a leading role in each analyzed process. According to their prominence, all other ranked gene classes were named as B, C, D, and so on, until the last gene class, in which genes that had no identified predicted associations (WNL = 0) were called ''orphans''.

Gene score citations (gsc) were also evaluated, as described in a previous paper, 25 by searching for citations in PubMed using Boolean logic on pertinent key words in all introduced combinations, as above described, with sequential scoring strategies: linear weight approach was applied:

- \bullet no impact-factor value restriction²⁷ was applied to the search for citations;
- no discrimination was applied between in vitro or in vivo data;
- increment of the gene score citation (gsc) by 1 for first citation in an article, obtained by the search for citations regarding the analyzed process and published in a journal cited in the Journal Citation Reports;
- increment of the gene score citation (gsc) by 0.5 in case of the multiple references, after the initial reference, that increments gsc by 1, and published by the same group of researchers (at least two of the same researchers) on a specific gene.

For each of the upper cluster genes (Leader and B class) a Specificity Score (SS) was assigned, computed as the ratio between the number of high level predicted interactions of the present analysis and the total number of predicted interactions with a higher level of confidence (results with a

 $score \geq 0.9$) in the whole STRING database. The research concluded on December 8, 2011.

3. Results

After an initial canvassing using the presented key words, 199 genes potentially involved in osteoporosis disease were numbered. The searches carried out among the several databases employed are shown in [Table](#page-1-0) 1. Repetitions of the same genes found in different databases were erased, leading, at the moment of the convergence (four expansionfiltering loops), to 242 genes which constituted the overall final convergence set.

The STRING map of interactions is represented in [Fig.](#page-2-0) 1A for the present phenomenon. As the number of clusters increased, the k-mean clustering gave a number of genes belonging to the leader cluster \leq ([Fig.](#page-2-0) 1B). The \hat{k} number of clusters estimated by the ''Gap statistic'' method was 9, as shown in [Fig.](#page-2-0) 1C: excluding the leader- and the orphanclusters, the other clusters were named B, C, and so on to H.

For the analyzed process, seven genes belonged to the cluster of ''leader genes'' ([Fig.](#page-2-0) 1D and [Table](#page-5-0) 2), and results were validated by Kruskal–Wallis test, which computed statistically-significant differences among different clusters: leader genes, B, C, and so on. Another 10 genes were identified in the class B group. The ''leader genes'' were CTNNB1, IL1B, IL6, JUN, RUNX2, SPP1, TGFB1, while the class B gene group was constituted by BMP2, BMP7, COL1A1, ICAM1, IGF1, IL10, MMP9, NFKB1, TNFSF11, VEGFA. [Table](#page-5-0) 2 presents acronyms, official names, and introduced variables of the upper cluster genes. The literature was carefully examined in order to determine which genes showed higher gene score citations (gsc) [\(Table](#page-5-0) 3). Among genes belonging to the two highest clusters (leader genes and cluster B genes), only 5 were well known to be linked to osteoporosis and modifications of the jawbone (with a value of gsc > 100). Among upper cluster genes, all belonging to class B, only 4 presented relatively low values of gene score citations (with a value close to 12): BMP7(11), IL10(10.5), ICAM1(10), and MMP9(5). The specificity scores for each leader and class B gene are shown in [Table](#page-5-0) 2. In brief, the mean specificity scores were 20.4% and 21.1% for leader and class B genes, respectively.

In [Table](#page-6-0) 4 is presented the overall set of remaining lower cluster genes (up to H cluster), with the respective gene number, acronyms, official names, and the primary function as reported by STRING. The 98 genes that had no interactions, defined as ''orphan genes'', are ranked in [Table](#page-11-0) 5, in which there are reported a further 81 genes robustly associated with a reduced BMD value (resulting from several meta-analysis and reviews), that had no high level combined predicted association scores related to any gene from the overall final convergence set.

4. Discussion

The measurement of BMD is still the best predictor of osteoporotic fractures, although not all individuals experience loss of BMD with age; the aetiology of osteoporosis is seen to be multifactorial and in combination with clinical risk factors, while the rate of bone loss seems to be genetically determined,

³ Bioinformatic and Statistics Toolboxes, MatLab 7.0.1, The MathWorks, Natick, MA.

Table 2 – Leader genes and class B genes according to clustering experiments with gene score citations in PubMed, number of predicted interactions, and Specificity Score for osteoporotic phenomenon.

Table 3 – Genes classified according to number of citations among 199 genes specifically involved in the osteoporosis phenomenon.

Table 5 – List of ''orphan'' genes and new genes strongly associated with osteoporosis, obtained from GWAS reviews and meta-analyses.

even if further inheritable mechanisms, partly independent of BMD, are seen to contribute to an increased incidence of fractures[.28](#page-16-0)

From this perspective, osteoporotic clinical phenotyping that now appears anomalous may be explained through the discovery of heretofore unknown genetic information. A great many genes regulating the BMD have been identified by several genetic approaches, such as linkage analysis (discovering inherited monogenic Mendelian human disease) or association studies for identifying susceptibility genes, such

as CGAS (analyzing polymorphic variants in candidate genes and relating haplotype), or GWAS (genotyping large numbers of SNPs across the genome, rather than a few candidate genes), with results that are not perfectly in agreement, and are sometimes conflicting.¹¹

Numerous genes of at least three metabolic pathways, these being the estrogenic endocrine pathway, the Wnt/ Catenin pathway, and the RANKL/RANK/OPG pathway, have been identified as surely associated to osteoporotic traits, and other genes, instead, have been indicated as being either promising, 29 29 29 or robustly associated with BMD, 10,28,30 10,28,30 10,28,30 whereas novel genome-wide-significant loci were supposed to be strongly associated.^{[1,11,12,31](#page-15-0)}

The preliminary set counted 199 genes; after four expansion-filtering loops based on both the database-search and microarray gene list scanning, the total number was increased to 242 genes, showing that STRING expansion- and PubMed filtering-process, combined into an iterative loop, were essential to reach the convergence of data and to perform an accurate analysis. STRING was employed for a combination of databases regarding direct physical protein–protein interaction, experimental gene expression, an active role in particular metabolic pathways, and indirect functional associations.

Although previous studies regarding the cell cycle of human T lymphocytes, human periodontal disease, and human bone augmentation/remodelling established that the numbers of genes in the higher clusters were small, our study revealed that 17 genes belonged to leader- or B-cluster; this resulting number of higher cluster genes was in accordance with the increasing set of genes which were either candidates to be associated or were found to be robustly associated with osteoporotic disease.

Most of the discovered ''leader genes'' were the same as those involved in the already examined augmentation/ remodelling process²⁵: these were divided into cytokines, such as interleukins, in particular IL1B, which is produced by activated macrophages that are important mediators of the inflammatory response, whereas IL6 has the capacity to stimulate the development of osteoclasts from their hemato-poietic precursors,^{[32](#page-16-0)} or such as the growth factor TGFB1, a multifunctional peptide that regulates osteoblast chemotaxis, proliferation and differentiation, $33,34$ and transcription factors, like RUNX2, that plays an important role in the maturation of osteoblasts as well as in both intramembranous and endochondral ossification, with its "master switch" activity, $35-37$ and JUN, which is involved in regulating gene expression.^{[38](#page-16-0)}

The two adjunctive ''leader genes'' were CTNNB1, an essential molecule of the canonical Wnt/b-catenin signalling pathway, and SPP1, also known as osteopontin, which is bounded tightly to hydroxyapatite, performing interactions between the matrix and cells, 39 and these two genes resulted as being associated with BMD at genome-wide-significant levels in several meta-analyses and reviews. $1,11,31$

The class B gene group is constituted by genes functionally and structurally divided into architectural proteins, non-collagen matrix molecules, and transcription- and cytokines/growth-factors. COL1A1 and ICAM1 belong to the first group. COL1A1 encodes the α -1 chain of type I collagen, the principal component of bone extracellular matrix: the studies performed on the effects on BMD of the three polymorphisms suggested that homozygotes for different haplotypes showed reduced (haplotype2) or in-creased (haplotype3) BMD.^{[40](#page-16-0)} Although COL1A1 has been extensively studied in osteoporosis, 11,12,28 11,12,28 11,12,28 no information has been obtained in connection to ICAM1, which plays an active role in the inflammatory process due to contact between osteoblasts (Obs) and osteoclasts (Ocs), occurring through the Ob membrane complex, in which the membrane protein icam1 binds the counter-receptor expressed at the surface of osteoclasts.^{[41](#page-17-0)} Also MMP9, an enzyme involved in degradation of types IV and V collagens, and NFKB1, which has a prominent role in (antibody) clearance, phagocytosis, pathogen recognition, and inflammatory response, have been little examined in more recent meta-analyses and reviews, even if, together with COL1A1, they have been seen to be significantly down-regulated in the bone tissue of osteoporotic women.^{[42,43](#page-17-0)}

The analysis of gene-coding cytokines resumed with 5 growth factors, such as BMP2, BMP7, IGF1, VEGFA and TNFSF11, and one interleukin, IL10. Interleukin 10, primarily produced by monocytes and bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs) belonging to the group of multifunctional peptides (TGF β superfamily) that control proliferation and differentiation in many cell types, yielded inconclusive results regarding an association with osteoporosis $44,45$ as attested to by the absence of these two genes from all the more recent reviews and meta-analyses regarding genome-wide association studies[.1,12,31](#page-15-0)

Another two genes, although belonging to upper clusters, appeared not to be associated to osteoporosis after research performed among genome-wide-significant loci of GWAS meta-analyses^{1,12,31}: IGF1, encoding a protein similar to insulin in function and structure, which, interacting with some variants of ESR2 (Oestrogen Receptor-β), influences the risk of fracture in postmenopausal women, 46 and the growth factor encoded by VEGFA, that shows several effects on osteoclast differentiation, angiogenesis, and monocyte/macrophage migration[.47](#page-17-0)

The last class B gene was TNFSF11, a member of the tumour necrosis factor (TNF) cytokine family, which is a ligand for osteoprotegerin and functions as a key factor for osteoclast differentiation and activation.^{[48](#page-17-0)}

The prevention of bone loss in postmenopausal women via oestrogen replacement therapy has shown that an active role is played by the oestrogen endocrine system in regulating bone mass and the occurrence of osteoporosis. The binding between oestrogen and its receptors, such as the most extensively studied oestrogen receptors 1 and 2 (ESR1, ESR2), and oestrogen-related receptors ERR- α (ESRRA) and ERR- γ (ESRRG), resulted in the up-regulation of the expression of many genes. Experiments and link connection analysis showed that oestrogen receptors influenced the occurrence of osteoporosis, both on their own, and by interacting with the higher cluster genes CTNNB1 and IGF1, as well as with the leader SPP1 gene for ESRs and for ESRRs, respectively, as is seen in [Fig.](#page-2-0) 1E.^{[29](#page-16-0)}

As regards the vitamin D endocrine pathway and maintenance of calcium homeostasis, effects of vitamin D are mediated through its nuclear transcription factor (VDR), but the association between VDR polymorphisms (Cdx2, FokI, BsmI, ApaI, and TaqI) and BMD were uncertain; even if vitamin D binding protein (DBP) binds and transports vitamin D, and mediates bone resorption by directly activating osteoclasts acting as DBP-macrophage activating factor, the presence of other genetic and environmental factors was an indispensable condition for revealing the poor effect of the DBP gene on fracture risk[.49](#page-17-0) DBP was an orphan gene, whereas, at third knot, VDR resulted as connected throughout the oestrogenrelated receptor gamma (ESRRG) to CTNNB1, SPP1, JUN, IL6, MMP9, and IGF1 [\(Fig.](#page-2-0) 1E).

The oestrogen endocrine system and the vitamin D endocrine pathway seem to be interconnected through the ESRRG, which is a candidate gene for osteoporosis, due to consistent associations discovered by recent analysis.^{[50](#page-17-0)}

The mechanism of the canonical Wnt/β -catenin signalling pathway has been well known, and its importance in the regulation of bone mass is attested to in the literature.^{[51](#page-17-0)} The protein encoded by CTNNB1 (β -catenin) is part of a complex of proteins that is responsible for transmitting signals throughout the nuclear transcriptional activity of β -catenin, when the receptor complex consisting of frizzled family proteins (encoded by frizzled precursors, FZDs) and low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5 (LRP5) or LRP6 coreceptor binds as ligand the Wnt. 52 In [Fig.](#page-2-0) 1F, all osteoporosis-associated genes reviewed by Li and co-workers are presented with all their connections at first knot^{[29](#page-16-0)}; the presence of the two leader genes, RUNX2, which is that acting in the proliferation of the osteoprogenitor cell via the canonical Wnt/ β -catenin signalling pathway, and CTNNB1, gives evidence that the gene encoding β -catenin, as reported in several GWAS meta-analyses, is strongly associated to osteoporotic disease. 1,11,29,31

Associations between osteoporosis and the genes belonging to RANKL/RANK/OPG pathway were perfectly established. The three genes of the tumour necrosis factor superfamily, TNFSF11, TNFRSF11A, and TNFRSF11B, encoded ligand rankl (receptor activator of nuclear factor-kB ligand), receptor rank (receptor activator of the nuclear factor-kB), and opg (osteoprotegerin or tumour necrosis factor receptor superfamily member 11B). The formation, activation and survival of multinucleated osteoclasts in normal bone remodelling were promoted by the normal binding between rankl and rank; opg acted as a decoy receptor for rankl, and, thereby, neutralized its function in osteoclastogenesis.⁴⁸ In [Fig.](#page-2-0) 1G, rankl, rank and opg are presented with all connections at first knot; most of the links in [Fig.](#page-2-0) 1G were due to rankl, indicating that this ligand may be affected by other pathways.

The present in silico study furnished a list of 242 genes potentially involved in osteoporosis linked to procedures of jaw bone augmentation. [Table](#page-11-0) 5 reports 98 orphan genes along with a further 81 genes, obtained from GWAS reviews and meta-analyses.^{1,10–12,28,30,31} The majority of these latter also resulted as ''orphans'', while the remaining ones are members of the lowest class, that is, with, at most, just one significant interaction with present genes belonging to the final convergence set.

The strength of the present analysis, which aimed at the identification of genes playing a relevant role in osteoporosis linked to jawbone reconstruction, was based on a combination of databases regarding direct physical protein–protein interaction, experimental gene expression, an active role in particular metabolic pathways, and indirect functional associations, could also be its weakness, owing to possible ''publication bias''. Some genes of the lower class and, moreover, the ''orphans'', for which information is very scant, could be found to have a significant role in the future, as can be seen from the wide number of genes for which a strong association was indicated, albeit with there being a lack of information regarding their role.

Several microarray experiments were performed in order to identify differentially expressed genes in osteoporotic bone; moreover, genes identified and implicated in osteoporosis pathogenesis were variable in different experimental analyses.^{53,54} The set for microarray analysis that is commercially available presents lists specifically addressed to different pathways (SuperArray Bioscience Corporation: 7320 Executive Way Frederick, MD 21704 USA), but the roles of several promising genes (lower class and orphan genes) are not considered. The lack of information concerning osteoporosis was borne out by the role played by both associated genes, and by the numerous old and new ''orphan genes'', not part of the known and well-analyzed pathways; further targeted DNA microarray experiments might help to clarify these still obscure biomolecular mechanisms.

Funding

MIUR Italian Dept. of Education, University and Scientific Research: PRIN 2008 2008K4XXF8_02 (CUP G51J10000030001) and 2008K4XXF8_03 (CUP E61J100000200001).

Competing interest

No conflict of interest.

Ethical approval

No ethical approval is required.

Acknowledgments

The present study has been supported in part through the Ministero dell'Istruzione, Universita` e Ricerca Scientifica (MIUR Italian Dept. of Education, University and Scientific Research) PRIN 2008 2008K4XXF8_02 (CUP G51J10000030001) to Prof. Ludovico Sbordone, and 2008K4XXF8_03 (CUP E61J100000200001) to Prof. Ranieri Martuscelli.

r e f e r e n c e s

1. Richards JB, Zheng HF, Spector TD. Genetics of osteoporosis from genome-wide association studies: advances and challenges. Nature Reviews Genetics 2012;13(8): 576–88.

- 2. Riggs BL, Melton LJ. Evidence for two distinct syndromes of involutional osteoporosis. American Journal of Medicine 1983;75(6):899–901.
- 3. Downey PA, Siegel MI. Bone biology and the clinical implications for osteoporosis. Physical Therapy 2006;86(1): 77–91.
- 4. Kanis JA, Gluer CC. An update on the diagnosis and assessment of osteoporosis with densitometry. Committee of Scientific Advisors, International Osteoporosis Foundation. Osteoporosis International 2000;11(3):192–202.
- 5. Lane NE. Epidemiology, etiology, and diagnosis of osteoporosis. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 2006;194(2 Suppl.):3–11.
- 6. Lane JM, Russell L, Khan SN. Osteoporosis. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research 2000;372:139–50.
- 7. Turner RT, Riggs BL, Spelsberg TC. Skeletal effects of estrogen. Endocrine Reviews 1994;15(3):275–300.
- 8. Rodan GA, Martin TJ. Therapeutic approaches to bone diseases. Science 2001;289(5484):1508–14.
- 9. Marx RE, Sawatari Y, Fortin M, Broumand V. Bisphosphonateinduced exposed bone (osteonecrosis/ osteopetrosis) of the jaws: risk factors, recognition, prevention, and treatment. Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery 2005;63(11):1567–75.
- 10. Cheung CL, Xiao SM, Kung AWC. Genetic epidemiology of age-related osteoporosis and its clinical applications. Nature Reviews Rheumatology 2010;6(9):507–17.
- 11. Ralston SH, Uitterlinden AG. Genetics of osteoporosis. Endocrine Reviews 2010;31(5):629–62.
- 12. Cheung CL, Sham PC, Xiao SM, Bow CH, Kung AWC. Metaanalysis of gene-based genome-wide association studies of bone mineral density in Chinese and European subjects. Osteoporosis International 2012;23(1):131–42.
- 13. Sbordone C, Toti P, Guidetti F, Califano L, Bufo P, Sbordone L. Volume changes of autogenous bone after sinus lifting and grafting procedures: a 6-year computerized tomographic follow-up. Journal of Cranio-maxillo-facial Surgery, in [press.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2012.09.007)
- 14. Sbordone C, Toti P, Guidetti F, Califano L, Santoro A, Sbordone L. Volume Changes of Iliac Crest Autogenous Bone Grafts after Vertical and Horizontal Alveolar Ridge Augmentation of Atrophic Maxillae and Mandibles: A 6-year Computerized Tomographic Follow-up. Journal of Oral and maxillofacial Surgery 2012;70(11):2559–65.
- 15. Sbordone C, Toti P, Guidetti F, Martuscelli R, Califano L, Sbordone L. Healing of Donor Defect After Mandibular Parasymphyseal Block Harvesting: A 6-year Computerized Tomographic follow-up. Journal of Cranio-Maxillo-Facial Surgery 2012;40(5):421–6.
- 16. Hillmann G, Geurtsen W. Pathohistology of undecalcified primary teeth in vitamin D-resistant rickets: review and report of two cases. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology Oral Radiology and Endodontics 1996;82(2):218–24.
- 17. Nathan AS, Traiger J, Berman SA. Secondary hyperparathyroidism as a cause of generalized enlargement of the maxilla and mandible. Report of a case. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology 1966;21(6):724–31.
- 18. Frankenthal S, Nakhoul F, Machtei EE, Green J, Ardekian L, Laufer D, et al. The effect of secondary hyperparathyroidism and hemodialysis therapy on alveolar bone and periodontium. Journal of Clinical Periodontology 2002;29(6):479–83.
- 19. Hamdy RC. Paget's disease of bone: assessment and management. 1st ed. New York: Praeger; 1981.
- 20. Bender IB. Paget's disease. Journal of Endodontics 2003;29(11):720–3.
- 21. Erdogan O, Shafer DM, Taxel P, Freilich MA. A review of the association between osteoporosis and alveolar ridge augmentation. Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology Oral Radiology and Endodontics 2007;104(6):738.e1–e.
- 22. Nicolini C, Spera R, Stura E, Fiordoro S, Giacomelli L. Gene expression in the cell cycle of human T-lymphocytes: II. Experimental determination by DNASER technology. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry 2006;97(5):1151–9.
- 23. Sivozhelezov V, Giacomelli L, Tripathi S, Nicolini C. Gene expression in the cell cycle of human T lymphocytes: I. Predicted gene and protein networks. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry 2006;97(5):1137–50.
- 24. Covani U, Marconcini S, Giacomelli L, Sivozhelevov V, Barone A, Nicolini C. Bioinformatic prediction of leader genes in human periodontitis. Journal of Periodontology 2008;79(10):1974–83.
- 25. Sbordone L, Sbordone C, Filice N, Menchini-Fabris GB, Baldoni M, Toti P. Gene clustering analysis in human osseous remodeling. Journal of Periodontology 2009;80(12):1998–2009.
- 26. Tibshirani R, Walther G, Hastie T. Estimating the number of clusters in a data set via gap statistic. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B 2001;63(2):411–23.
- 27. Fitzpatrick RB. ISI's journal citation reports on the web. Medical Reference Services Quarterly 2003;22(4):45–56.
- 28. Mitchell BD, Yerges-Armstrong LM. The genetics of bone loss: challenges and prospects. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2011;96(5):1258–68.
- 29. Li WF, Hou SX, Yu B, Li MM, Férec C, Chen JM. Genetics of osteoporosis: accelerating pace in gene identification and validation. Human Genetics 2010;127(3):249–85.
- 30. Hosoi T. Genetic aspects of osteoporosis. Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism 2010;28(6):601–7.
- 31. Estrada K, Styrkarsdottir U, Evangelou E, Hsu YH, Duncan EL, Ntzani EE, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 56 bone mineral density loci and reveals 14 loci associated with risk of fracture. Nature Genetics 2012;44(5):491–501.
- 32. Ihara H, Hirukawa K, Goto S, Togari A. ATP-stimulated interleukin-6 synthesis through P2Y receptors on human osteoblasts. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 2005;326(2):329–34.
- 33. Ortiz CO, Chen BK, Bale LK, Overgaard MT, Oxvig C, Conover CA. Transforming growth factor-beta regulation of the insulin-like growth factor binding protein-4 protease system in cultured human osteoblasts. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research 2003;18(6):1066–72.
- 34. Wright N, de Lera TL, García-Moruja C, Lillo R, García-Sánchez F, Caruz A, et al. Transforming growth factor-beta1 down-regulates expression of chemokine stromal cellderived factor-1: functional consequences in cell migration and adhesion. Blood 2003;102(6):1978–84.
- 35. Brubaker KD, Vessella RL, Brown LG, Corey E. Prostate cancer expression of runt-domain transcription factor Runx2, a key regulator of osteoblast differentiation and function. Prostate 2003;56(1):13–22.
- 36. Schroeder TM, Jensen ED, Westendorf JJ. Runx2: a master organizer of gene transcription in developing and maturing osteoblasts. Birth Defects Research Part C Embryo Today 2005;75(3):213–25.
- 37. Komori T. Regulation of bone development and maintenance by Runx2. Frontiers in Bioscience 2008;13: 898–903.
- 38. Vesely PW, Staber PB, Hoefler G, Kenner L. Translational regulation mechanisms of AP-1 proteins. Mutation Research 2009;682(1):7–12.
- 39. Bonewald LF, Johnson M. Osteocytes, mechanosensing and Wnt signaling. Bone 2008;42(4):606–15.
- 40. Stewart TL, Jin H, McGuigan FE, Albagha OM, Garcia-Giralt N, Bassiti A, et al. Haplotypes defined by promoter and intron 1 polymorphisms of the COLIA1 gene regulate bone mineral density in women. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism 2006;91(9):3575–83.
- 41. Lavigne P, Benderdour M, Shi Q, Lajeunesse D, Fernandes JC. Involvement of ICAM-1 in bone metabolism: a potential target in the treatment of bone diseases? Expert Opinion on Biological Therapy 2005;5(3):313–20.
- 42. Balla B, Kósa JP, Kiss J, Borsy A, Podani J, Takács I, et al. Different gene expression patterns in the bone tissue of aging postmenopausal osteoporotic and non-osteoporotic women. Calcified Tissue International 2008;82(1):12–26.
- 43. Balla B, Kósa JP, Kiss J, Podani J, Takács I, Lazáry A, et al. Transcriptional profiling of immune system-related genes in postmenopausal osteoporotic versus non-osteoporotic human bone tissue. Clinical Immunology 2009;131(2):354–9.
- 44. Styrkarsdottir U, Cazier JB, Kong A, Rolfsson O, Larsen H, Bjarnadottir E, et al. Linkage of osteoporosis to chromosome 20p12 and association to BMP2. PLoS Biology 2003;1(3):E69.
- 45. Freedman BI, Bowden DW, Ziegler JT, Langefeld CD, Lehtinen AB, Rudock ME, et al. Bone morphogenetic protein 7 (BMP7) gene polymorphisms are associated with inverse relationships between vascular calciWcation and BMD: the Diabetes Heart Study. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research 2009;24(10):1719–27.
- 46. Rivadeneira F, van Meurs JB, Kant J, Zillikens MC, Stolk L, Beck TJ, et al. Estrogen receptor beta (ESR2) polymorphisms in interaction with estrogen receptor alpha (ESR1) and insulin-like growth factor I (IGF1) variants influence the risk of fracture in postmenopausal women. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research 2006;21(9):1443–56.
- 47. Nihrane A, Sezgin G, Dsilva S, Dellorusso P, Yamamoto K, Ellis SR, et al. Depletion of the Shwachman-Diamond syndrome gene product, SBDS, leads to growth inhibition and increased expression of OPG and VEGF-A. Blood Cells Molecules and Diseases 2009;42(1):85–91.
- 48. Boyce BF, Xing L. Biology of RANK, RANKL, and osteoprotegerin. Arthritis Research and Therapy 2007;9(Suppl1):1.
- 49. Fang Y, van Meurs JB, Arp P, van Leeuwen JP, Hofman A, Pols HA, et al. Vitamin D binding protein genotype and osteoporosis. Calcified Tissue International 2009;85(2):85–93.
- 50. Elfassihi L, Giroux S, Bureau A, LaXamme N, Cole DE, Rousseau F. Association with replication between estrogenrelated receptor gamma (ESRRG) polymorphisms and bone phenotypes in women of European ancestry. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research 2010;25(4):901–11.
- 51. Krishnan V, Bryant HU, Macdougald OA. Regulation of bone mass by Wnt signaling. Journal of Clinical Investigation 2006;116(5):1202–9.
- 52. Khosla S, Westendorf JJ, Oursler MJ. Building bone to reverse osteoporosis and repair fractures. Journal of Clinical Investigation 2008;118(2):421–8.
- 53. Dvornyk V, Recker RR, Deng HW. Gene expression studies of osteoporosis: implications for microarray research. Osteoporosis International 2003;14(6):451–61.
- 54. Hopwood B, Tsykin A, Findlay DM, Fazzalari NL. Gene expression profile of the bone microenvironment in human fragility fracture bone. Bone 2009;44(1):87–101.