#### [Physics Letters B 760 \(2016\) 149–152](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.06.058)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.ScienceDirect.com/)

Physics Letters B

[www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/physletb)

# Wave-scattering from a gently curved surface

## Giuseppe Bimonte <sup>a</sup>*,*b*,*<sup>∗</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Dipartimento di Fisica E. Pancini, Università di Napoli Federico II, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, I-80126 Napoli, Italy <sup>b</sup> *INFN Sezione di Napoli, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*

#### A R T I C L E I N F O A B S T R A C T

*Article history:* Received 16 May 2016 Received in revised form 21 June 2016 Accepted 24 June 2016 Available online 29 June 2016 Editor: M. Cvetič

We study wave scattering from a gently curved surface. We show that the recursive relations, implied by shift invariance, among the coefficients of the perturbative series for the scattering amplitude allow to perform an infinite resummation of the perturbative series to all orders in the amplitude of the corrugation. The resummed series provides a derivative expansion of the scattering amplitude in powers of derivatives of the height profile, which is expected to become exact in the limit of quasi-specular scattering. We discuss the relation of our results with the so-called small-slope approximation introduced some time ago by Voronovich.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Funded by  $SCOAP<sup>3</sup>$ .

#### **1. Introduction**

The problem of wave scattering at rough interfaces has always attracted much attention, due to its importance in diverse areas of physics ranging from optics, to acoustics, communications, geophysics, etc. Its difficulty is universally acknowledged, and even with presently available computers it represents a formidable challenge in realistic situations. Despite impressive progress made in numerical methods, approximate analytical approaches are still much valuable as the only ones capable of providing an insight into general physical features of wave scattering. It is not surprising then that a number of diverse approximation schemes, with different ranges of validity, have been developed over the years. For a review, we address the reader to the monograph [\[1\]](#page-3-0) or to the recent review  $[2]$ . Historically, the first and perhaps most widely known approximate theory of scattering by rough surfaces is the *small perturbation method* (SPM) originally developed by Rayleigh to study scattering of sound waves by sinusoidally corrugated surfaces of small amplitude, and later generalized by several other authors to electromagnetic scattering. Another classic approximate scheme is the *Kirchhoff approximation* (KA), also known as the *tangent plane* approximation (TPA), which represents a valid approximation for locally smooth surfaces with large radii of curvature. A scheme aiming at reconciling SPM and KA was proposed twenty years ago by Voronovich [\[1,3\],](#page-3-0) i.e. the *small-slope approximation* (SSA). It consists of an ingenious *ansatz* for the scattering ampli-

Correspondence to: Dipartimento di Fisica E. Pancini, Università di Napoli Federico II, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia, I-80126 Napoli, Italy. *E-mail address:* [bimonte@na.infn.it.](mailto:bimonte@na.infn.it)

tude (SA), that manages to capture the leading curvature correction to the KA. The ansatz involves unknown coefficients that are determined *a posteriori* by matching the SSA with the SPM in their common region of validity. Numerical investigations revealed that within its domain of validity the SSA is indeed remarkably accurate [\[2\].](#page-3-0) Several authors have attempted to provide rigorous mathematical derivations of the SSA, utilizing the extinction theorem  $[4,5]$  or the Meecham–Lysanov method [\[6\].](#page-3-0)

In recent years it has been shown  $[7-9]$  that curvature corrections to the Casimir interaction between two gently curved surfaces can be estimated using a derivative expansion (DE) of the Casimir-energy functional, in powers of derivatives of the height profiles of the surfaces. The DE has been later used to study curvature effects in the Casimir–Polder interaction of a particle with a gently curved surface  $[10,11]$ . The same method has been used very recently to estimate the shifts of the rotational levels of a diatomic molecule due to its van der Waals interaction with a curved dielectric surface [\[12\].](#page-3-0) An important insight into the nature of the DE was achieved in  $[13]$  (see also  $[10]$ ) where it was shown that the derivative expansion amounts to an infinite resummation of the perturbative series for the Casimir energy to all orders in the amplitude of the corrugation, for *small* in-plane momenta of the electromagnetic field. These methods can indeed be adapted to the problem of wave scattering by a rough surface. In this letter we demonstrate that the infinite recursive relations among the coefficients of the perturbative series for the SA, engendered by its exact invariance under vertical and horizontal shifts of the surface, allow to perform an *infinite* resummation of the perturbative series, to all orders in the amplitude of the corrugation. The resummation procedure results into a DE of the SA in powers of derivatives of the

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.06.058>







<sup>0370-2693/© 2016</sup> The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)). Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>height profile, which is expected to become exact in the limit of quasi-specular scattering. We show that our DE of the SA is indeed equivalent to Voronovich's SSA ansatz, thus providing a formal justification for it.

#### **2. Perturbative expansion of the SA**

We consider a surface  $\Sigma$  separating two media of different (optical or acoustical) properties. A cartesian coordinate system *(x, y, z)* is chosen such that the *z*-axis is directed upwards in the direction going from medium 2 towards medium 1, while *(x, y)* span a reference plane orthogonal to the *z*-axis. It is assumed that the surface  $\Sigma$  can be represented by a (single-valued) *smooth* height profile of equation  $z = h(\mathbf{x}) = h(x, y)$ . A downward propagating (electromagnetic or acoustic) wave  $E_{\alpha_0}^{(in)}(\mathbf{x}, z) =$  $E_{\alpha_0}^{(0)} / \sqrt{q_0}$  exp[i(**k**<sub>0</sub> · **x** − *q*<sub>0</sub>*z*)] with wave vector **K**<sub>0</sub> = (**k**<sub>0</sub>,−*q*<sub>0</sub>), wavenumber  $K_0 = 2\pi/\lambda_0^1$  is incident on the surface. The index  $\alpha_0$  takes values in a discrete set consisting of one or two elements, depending on whether an acoustic or electromagnetic wave is considered. The scattered field **E***(*sc*) (***r***, z)* at points above and far from  $\Sigma$  can be expressed through the SA  $S$ <sub>αα<sub>0</sub> (**k**, **k**<sub>0</sub>) as a superpositions</sub> of upwards propagating waves with wave vector  $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{k}, q)$  as:

$$
E_{\alpha}^{(\text{sc})}(\mathbf{x}, z) = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{4\pi^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} e^{i(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} + qz)} S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) E_{\alpha_0}^{(0)}.
$$
 (1)

The SA satisfies two general properties. The first one is *reciprocity*:

$$
S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = S_{\alpha_0\alpha}(-\mathbf{k}_0, -\mathbf{k}), \qquad (2)
$$

which follows from microscopic reversibility [\[14\].](#page-3-0) The second general property satisfied by the SA is *shift invariance*, which amounts to the following transformation property of the SA under a horizontal and vertical displacement of the surface  $\Sigma\colon$ 

$$
S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)|_{h(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{a})-b} = e^{\mathrm{i}[(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{a} - (q_0 + q)b]} S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)|_{h(\mathbf{x})}. \qquad (3)
$$

According to the SPM, we postulate that for sufficiently small height profiles *h* the SA can be expanded as a power series in the height profile:

$$
S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^2 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^2 \mathbf{x}_n
$$
  
 
$$
\times G_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) h(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots h(\mathbf{x}_n), \qquad (4)
$$

where the kernels  $G^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  are symmetric functions of  $({\bf x}_1 \ldots {\bf x}_n)$ . In momentum space, the perturbative expansion of the SA reads:

$$
S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \cdots \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_n}{(2\pi)^2} \times \bar{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_1) \dots \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_n) .
$$
 (5)

Shift invariance under a *horizontal* translation of the profile *h(***x***)* implies that the kernels  $\tilde{G}^{(n)}_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  must be of the form:

$$
\bar{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \ldots \mathbf{k}_n + \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k})
$$
  
 
$$
\times \tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) ,
$$
 (6)

where  $\tilde{G}^{(n)}_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  are symmetric functions of the inplane momenta  $\mathbf{k}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{k}_2$ , which are defined only on the hyperplane  $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$  ≡ {**k**<sub>1</sub> + ...**k**<sub>*n*</sub> + **k**<sub>0</sub> − **k** = 0}. Of course

$$
\bar{G}^{(0)}_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) R_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}_0) ,
$$
\n(7)

where  $R_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}_0)$  are the familiar reflection coefficients for a planar surface. By inserting Eq.  $(6)$  into Eq.  $(5)$ , the perturbative series can be rewritten as:

$$
S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \cdots \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_n}{(2\pi)^2} \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_1) \dots \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_n)
$$
  
×  $(2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \dots \mathbf{k}_n + \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) \tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)$ . (8)

Next we show that the perturbative kernels have to satisfy an infinite set of relations, as a result of the shift invariance under a *vertical* shift of the profile. To derive these relations we note the identity that follows from Eq. (3):

$$
e^{i(q_0+q)b} S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)|_{h(\mathbf{x})-b} = S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)|_{h(\mathbf{x})}. \tag{9}
$$

Upon taking *p* derivatives of both sides of the above relation with respect to the shift *b*, we obtain the identities:

$$
\frac{d^p}{db^p} \left( e^{i(q_0 + q)b} S_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)|_{h(\mathbf{x})-b} \right) \Big|_{b=0}
$$
\n
$$
\equiv A_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(p)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)|_{h(\mathbf{x})} = 0
$$
\n(10)

that have to be satisfied for *any* profile *h*. By making use of the perturbative expansion of the SA Eq.  $(4)$  into the l.h.s. of the above identities, one obtains the following expansion for the ker- $A^{(p)}_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)|_{h(\mathbf{x})}$ :

$$
A_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(p)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)|_{h(\mathbf{x})} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int d^2 \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \int d^2 \mathbf{x}_n
$$
  
 
$$
\times A_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(p,n)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) h(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots h(\mathbf{x}_n) = 0,
$$
 (11)

with:

$$
A_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(p,n)}(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) = \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!} \left[ \mathbf{i} \left( q_0 + q \right) \right]^{p-k}
$$

$$
\times \int d^2 \mathbf{x}_{n+1} \ldots \int d^2 \mathbf{x}_{n+k} \, G_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n+k)}(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_{n+k};\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) \,. \tag{12}
$$

Since the identities in Eq. (10) must be satisfied for arbitrary profiles *h*, it follows that all the kernels  $A_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(p,n)}(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  must vanish identically:

$$
A_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(p,n)}(\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)=0\,,\quad \forall\, n\geq 0\,,\,p>0\,.
$$

When expressed in momentum space, these conditions read:

$$
(2\pi)^{2} \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_{1} + ... \mathbf{k}_{n} + \mathbf{k}_{0} - \mathbf{k}) \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^{k} \frac{p!}{k!(p-k)!}
$$
  
× [i(q<sub>0</sub> + q)]<sup>p-k</sup>  $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha_{0}}^{(n+k)}(\mathbf{k}_{1}, ..., \mathbf{k}_{n}, \mathbf{0}, ..., \mathbf{0}) = 0.$  (14)

For any fixed *n*, the above relations can be solved iteratively leading to:

$$
\tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n+m)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_n,\mathbf{0},\ldots,\mathbf{0};\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)
$$
\n
$$
= [i(q_0+q)]^m \tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) .
$$
\n(15)

We recall that these identities hold on  $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ . Equation (15) constitutes a very important result, and in next Section we show that with their help it is possible to perform an *infinite* re-summation of the perturbative series, order by order in a small **k** expansion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> We follow the normalization of waves adopted by Voronovich  $[3]$ .

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**3. Resummation of the perturbative series**

Now we consider a surface  $\Sigma$  whose local radius of curvature is everywhere large compared to the wavelength of the incoming wave. For such a gently curved profile, the Fourier transform  $h(\mathbf{k})$  of the height profile is significantly different from zero only for small in-plane wave vectors **k**. For quasi specular-scattering, i.e. for  $\mathbf{k} \simeq \mathbf{k}_0$ , it is therefore legitimate to Taylor-expand the  $K$ <sup>2</sup>  $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  around  $\mathbf{k}_1=\cdots=\mathbf{k}_n=\mathbf{0}$ . Existence of this Taylor expansion is not guaranteed a priori, but has to be checked case by case. With respect to this question, it is important to observe that by virtue of the iterative relations Eq. [\(15\)](#page-1-0) existence of the Taylor expansion of order *n* for the kernel  $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  automatically implies existence of the Taylor expansion to the same order *n* for all higher order kernels  $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\alpha_0}^{(n+m)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_{n+m};\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$ ,  $m=1,2,\ldots$  Let us assume that the perturbative kernels can be Taylor-expanded to second order in the wave vectors $2$ :

$$
\tilde{G}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)
$$
\n
$$
= A^{(n)}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) + B_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)(\mathbf{k}_1+\cdots+\mathbf{k}_n)^{\mu}
$$
\n
$$
+ C_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{k}_i^{\mu} \mathbf{k}_i^{\nu} - D_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{k}_i^{\mu} \mathbf{k}_j^{\nu} + o(k^2) ,
$$
\n(16)

where Greek indices take values *(*1*,* 2*)*, and for brevity we suppressed all polarization indices. Since the n-point Green function is defined only on the hyperplanes  $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ , we are free to add to  $\tilde{G}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  any function  $f^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  vanishing on  $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$  of the form

$$
f^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = (\mathbf{k}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{k}_n + \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k})^{\mu} \times g_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)
$$
(17)

where  $g^{(n)}_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  are arbitrary smooth symmetric functions of  $\mathbf{k}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{k}_n$ . Let us take

$$
g_{\mu}^{(n)} = \hat{A}_{\mu}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) + \hat{B}_{\mu \nu}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) (\mathbf{k}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{k}_n)^{\nu}.
$$
 (18)

It is easy to verify that the coefficients  $\hat{A}^{(n)}_{\mu}$  ,  $\hat{B}^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}$  can always be chosen such that addition of  $f^{(n)}({\bf k}_1,\cdots,{\bf k}_n;{\bf k},{\bf k}_0)$  to  $\tilde{G}^{(n)}({\bf k}_1,\cdots,$  $\mathbf{k}_n$ ;  $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0$ ) removes from Eq. (16) the terms proportional to  $B_{\mu}^{(n)}$ and  $C^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}$ . Without loss of generality, the second order Taylor expansion of the kernels  $\tilde{G}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  around  $\mathbf{k}_1=\cdots=$  $\mathbf{k}_n = \mathbf{0}$  can thus be assumed to be of the form:

$$
\tilde{G}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{k}_n;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) \n= A^{(n)}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) - D^{(n)}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0) \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{k}_i^{\mu} \mathbf{k}_j^{\nu} + o(k^2) .
$$
\n(19)

After the above small-**k** expansion is substituted into the perturbative series Eq. [\(8\),](#page-1-0) one finds:

$$
S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^2} \cdots \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_n}{(2\pi)^2} \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_1) \dots \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1 + \dots \mathbf{k}_n + \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k})
$$
  
\n
$$
\times [A^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) - D_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \sum_{i < j} \mathbf{k}_i^{\mu} \mathbf{k}_j^{\nu}]
$$
  
\n
$$
= (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) R(\mathbf{k}_0) + A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \sum_{n \ge 2} \int d^2 \mathbf{x} e^{i(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left[ \frac{1}{n!} A^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) h^n(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{h^{n-2}(\mathbf{x})}{2(n-2)!} D_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \partial_{\mu} h(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\nu} h(\mathbf{x}) \right].
$$
 (20)

However, the identities Eq. [\(15\)](#page-1-0) satisfied by the perturbative kernels for  $n = 0, 1, 2$  imply at once:

$$
A^{(m)}(\mathbf{k}_0, \mathbf{k}_0) = (2 \, \mathrm{i} \, q_0)^m \, R(\mathbf{k}_0) \,, \tag{21}
$$

$$
A^{(m+1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = [i(q_0 + q)]^m A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) ,
$$
 (22)

$$
A^{(m+2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = [i(q_0 + q)]^m A^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) ,
$$
 (23)

$$
D_{\mu\nu}^{(m+2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = [i(q_0 + q)]^m D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0).
$$
 (24)

By making use into Eq.  $(20)$  of the above identities, it is easy to perform the infinite sums in the r.h.s. of Eq. (20):

$$
S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) \left[ R(\mathbf{k}_0) - \frac{A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_0, \mathbf{k}_0)}{2iq_0} \right]
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int d^2 \mathbf{x} e^{i(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x}} \left\{ \left[ \frac{A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)}{i(q_0 + q)} \right. \right.
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{1}{2} D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \partial_{\mu} h(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\nu} h(\mathbf{x}) \right] \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \left[ i(q + q_0) h(\mathbf{x}) \right]^n
$$
  
= 
$$
\int d^2 \mathbf{x} e^{i[(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x} + (q + q_0) h(\mathbf{x})]} \left[ \frac{A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)}{i(q_0 + q)} \right. \left. + \frac{1}{2} D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \partial_{\mu} h(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\nu} h(\mathbf{x}) \right]. \tag{25}
$$

The above formula for the SA represents our main result. As we see, the contribution proportional to  $A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)$  reproduces the KA, while the second term of order  $(\nabla h)^2$  provides the leading curvature correction to the KA. Bearing in mind Eq. (19), we see that the coefficients  $A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)$  and  $D^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)$  occurring in this formula can be extracted from the second order Taylor expansion of the perturbative kernels  $\tilde{G}^{(1)}_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}_1;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  and  $\tilde{G}^{(2)}_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0),$ respectively. We now prove that our Eq. (25) is indeed equivalent (to order  $(\nabla h)^2$ ) to the SSA ansatz made by Voronovich [\[3\]](#page-3-0) twenty years ago.

#### **4. Comparison with Voronovich's small-slope approximation**

In Ref. [\[3\]](#page-3-0) Voronovich postulated the following *ansatz* for the SSA valid to order  $(\nabla h)^2$ :

$$
S(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = \frac{2\sqrt{qq_0}}{q+q_0} \int d^2 \mathbf{x} e^{i[(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x} + (q+q_0)h(\mathbf{x})]} \times \left[ B^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) - \frac{i}{4} \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_1 M^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}_1) \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_1) e^{i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}} \right],
$$
 (26)

where

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By an explicit perturbative computation to second order in the height profile, it is possible to verify that the perturbative kernels  $\tilde{G}^{(1)}_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}_1;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  and  $\tilde{G}^{(2)}_{\alpha\alpha_0}(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2;\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)$  do admit a second-order Taylor-expansion as per Eq. (16), for both a scalar wave satisfying Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions on the surface  $\Sigma$ , as well as for the scattering of an electromagnetic wave by a dielectric surface. Explicit formulae for the relevant perturbative kernels in the electromagnetic case are provided in [\[3\].](#page-3-0)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
M^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}_1) = B_2^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1) + B_2^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{k}_1) + 2(q + q_0) B^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) ,
$$
 (27)

and for brevity we suppressed all polarization indices. The perturbative kernels occurring in the above Equations are related to ours by the following relations:

$$
\tilde{G}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = 2i \sqrt{qq_0} B^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) ,
$$
 (28)

and

$$
\tilde{G}^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n, \mathbf{k}_n - \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)
$$
  
=  $(n+1)! \sqrt{qq_0} (B_{n+1})^{11} (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$  (29)

In view of Eq.  $(28)$ , it is clear that the first term between the square brackets in Eq.  $(26)$  reproduces the first term between the square brackets of Eq.  $(25)$ . By an explicit computation, it is possible to verify that the second terms between the square brackets of Eqs.  $(26)$  and  $(25)$  coincide as well. In view of Eq.  $(29)$ , and using the second order Taylor expansion of  $\tilde{G}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)$  given in Eq. [\(19\),](#page-2-0) we find:

$$
\sqrt{qq_0} (B_2^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1) + B_2^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{k}_1))
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} [\tilde{G}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) + \tilde{G}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)]$   
=  $\tilde{G}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}_1; \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) = A^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)$   
 $- D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \mathbf{k}_1^{\mu}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}_1)^{\nu}$ . (30)

Therefore

$$
\sqrt{qq_0} M^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}_1) = A^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) - i(q + q_0) A^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0)
$$

$$
- D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \mathbf{k}_1^{\mu}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}_1)^{\nu}
$$

$$
= - D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \mathbf{k}_1^{\mu}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}_1)^{\nu}, \qquad (31)
$$

where in the last passage we used the recursive relation Eq. [\(22\)](#page-2-0) to cancel the first two terms in the intermediate expression. Then:

$$
\sqrt{qq_0} \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_1 M^{11}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0; \mathbf{k}_1) \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_1) e^{i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}}
$$
  
=  $-D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_1 e^{i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{k}_1^{\mu} (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}_1)^{\nu} \tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_1) e^{i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}}$   
=  $i D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 + i \partial)_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} h(\mathbf{x})$ . (32)

Using the above formula, we see that the term of Eq. [\(26\)](#page-2-0) involving the  $\mathbf{k}_1$  integral can be recast as:

$$
-\frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{qq_0}}{2(q+q_0)}\int d^2\mathbf{x} e^{\mathrm{i}[(\mathbf{k}_0-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{x}+(q+q_0)h(\mathbf{x})]}\times \int d^2\mathbf{k}_1 M^{11}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0;\mathbf{k}_1)\tilde{h}(\mathbf{k}_1) e^{\mathrm{i}\mathbf{k}_1\cdot\mathbf{x}}=\frac{D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}_0)}{2(q+q_0)}\int d^2\mathbf{x} e^{\mathrm{i}[(\mathbf{k}_0-\mathbf{k})\cdot\mathbf{x}+(q+q_0)h(\mathbf{x})]}
$$

$$
\times (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0 + i \partial)^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} h(\mathbf{x})
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} D_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_0) \int d^2 \mathbf{x} e^{i[(\mathbf{k}_0 - \mathbf{k}) \cdot \mathbf{x} + (q + q_0)h(\mathbf{x})]} \partial_{\mu} h(\mathbf{x}) \partial_{\nu} h(\mathbf{x})$ , (33)

where in the last passage we performed an integration by parts on the term involving second derivatives of *h*. Comparison with Eq. [\(25\)](#page-2-0) shows that the above term coincides with the second term on the r.h.s. of Eq. [\(25\).](#page-2-0)

#### **5. Conclusions**

We have shown that the SA describing scattering of a wave by a gently curved surface admits a derivative expansion in powers of derivatives of the height profile. This derivative expansion of the SA has been derived here by performing an infinite resummation of the perturbative series for the SA, to all orders in the amplitude of the corrugation. Based on this formal derivation it can be expected that the derivative expansion is asymptotically exact in the limit of quasi-specular scattering. In the leading order the derivative expansion coincides with the classic KA, while in the next order it provides the leading curvature correction to the KA. We have also shown that the derivative expansion is equivalent to the order  $(\nabla h)^2$  to the SSA *ansatz*, proposed some time ago by Voronovich to describe wave scattering by a rough surface. The resummation of the perturbative series performed here to order  $(\nabla h)^2$ , can be easily generalized to higher orders in  $\nabla h$ , provided only that the perturbative kernels for the scattering amplitude admit a Taylor expansion of sufficiently high order for small in-plane wave vectors.

### **Acknowledgements**

The author thanks T. Emig, N. Graham, M. Kruger, R.L. Jaffe and M. Kardar for valuable discussions while the manuscript was in preparation.

### **References**

- [1] A. Voronovich, Wave Scattering from Rough Surfaces, [Springer-Verlag,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib766F726F6E32s1) Berlin, [Heidelberg,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib766F726F6E32s1) 1999.
- [2] T.M. [Elfouhaily,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib67756572696Es1) C.-A. Guérin, Waves Random Media 14 (2004) R1–R40.
- [3] A. [Voronovich,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib766F726F6Es1) Waves Random Media 4 (1994) 337.
- [4] V.I. [Tatarskii,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib746174617231s1) Waves Random Media 3 (1993) 127.
- [5] V.I. [Tatarskii,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib746174617232s1) V.V. Tatarskii, Waves Random Media 4 (1994) 19.
- [6] S.T. [McDaniel,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib4D6344s1) Waves Random Media 5 (1995) 201.
- [7] C.D. Fosco, F.C. [Lombardo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib666F73636F30s1) F.D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011) 105031.
- [8] G. Bimonte, T. Emig, R.L. Jaffe, M. Kardar, [Europhys.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib62696D6F6E746531s1) Lett. 97 (2012) 50001.
- [9] G. [Bimonte,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib62696D6F6E746532s1) T. Emig, M. Kardar, Appl. Phys. Lett. 100 (2012) 074110.
- [10] G. Bimonte, T. Emig, M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. D 90 (2014), [081702\(R\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib62696D6F6E746533s1)
- [11] G. [Bimonte,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib62696D6F6E746534s1) T. Emig, M. Kardar, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 025028.
- [12] G. Bimonte, T. Emig, R.L. Jaffe, M. Kardar, [arXiv:1606.04641.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib62696D6F6E746535s1)
- 
- [13] C.D. Fosco, F.C. [Lombardo,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib666F73636Fs1) F.D. Mazzitelli, Phys. Rev. A 89 (2014) 062120. [14] E.M. Lifshitz, L.P. Pitaevskii, Statistical Physics, Part 2, [Pergamon,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0370-2693(16)30318-5/bib6C616E646175s1) Oxford, 1991.